Investigation of the Effect of Mixed Anion and Mixed Metal on Heavy Metal Removal from Contaminated Aqueous Solutions
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ABSTRACT

Aims: To investigate the effect of variation of metals (Cu vs Zn) and anions (nitrate vs sulfate) in heavy metal remediation by charcoal and coffee waste from contaminated water.

Study Design: Aqueous solution of single and mixed nitrate and sulfate salts of copper and zinc were respectively treated with charcoal and coffee waste for 12 hr and the residual metal concentration and percent metal removal were determined.

Place and Duration of Study: The experiments were conducted in the Chemistry Department at Dillard University between January 2021 and November 2021.

Methodology: Coffee waste (2 grams) and charcoal (2 grams) were respectively mixed with 40 ml of 500 parts per million (ppm) of each of the following combination of metal solutions: Cu(NO₃)₂; CuSO₄; Zn(NO₃)₂; ZnSO₄; Cu(NO₃)₂ and Zn(NO₃)₂; CuSO₄ and ZnSO₄; Zn(NO₃)₂ and ZnSO₄; Cu(NO₃)₂ and CuSO₄; Cu(NO₃)₂ and ZnSO₄; Zn(NO₃)₂ and CuSO₄. Each solution was agitated for 12 hours at room temperature. The mixtures were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 minutes and residual copper and zinc were analyzed. The results showed that copper was preferentially adsorbed by coffee waste compared to zinc in all binary metal (copper-zinc) solutions. Copper removal by coffee waste from CuSO₄ and CuSO₄-ZnSO₄ were 43.9% and 65.5% respectively. Zinc
Conclusion: Metal type can affect the extent of metal removal from mixed metal solutions. In this research, the copper was 21% more effectively removed from the mixed metal solutions than from a single metal-single anion solutions. In addition, zinc removal was 11-14% suppressed in binary metal salt solutions compared to its removal from single-metal-single anion salt solutions. The anion type does not influence metal removed from single metal-single anion salt solutions. However, in the mixed metal-mixed anion systems, more metals were removed when the anion is a nitrate than when it is a sulfate, especially with coffee waste as adsorbent. 9.4% more zinc was removed from CuSO₄-Zn(NO₃)₂ (79.9%) than from ZnSO₄-Cu(NO₃)₂ (70.5%). Thus, both metal and anion type affect extent of metal removal from mixed metal mixed anion.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Heavy metals are known for their highly toxic properties. In fact, in areas affected by humans copper and zinc concentration is 20 times greater than in unpolluted regions not directly impacted by human activity [1-2]. Despite the laws enacted to limit pollution, excess copper and zinc still exists in many bodies of water, making it imperative that we find ways to safely remove them. A number of approaches have been studied for the development of cheaper and more effective adsorbents for metal removal from several sources such as water and soil. Many non-conventional low-cost adsorbents, including natural materials, and waste materials have been proposed by several researchers [3-5]. Most of the absorbents studied include agricultural wastes, industrial waste products, and bio absorbents [6]. Although tiny amounts of copper are essential for human health, excess amounts can cause adverse health effects [7]. Excess zinc in the body can cause harmful effects on human health [8]. Natural materials available in large amounts and several waste products can be considered as effective and alternative technologies for the remediation of heavy metals. Instead of using commercial activated carbon, researchers have worked on inexpensive materials, such as charcoal, corn cob, tea and coffee waste which are locally available [9-11]. Low-cost adsorbents are imperative for local communities with contaminated water sources. Furthermore, many studies have concentrated on single metal [12] or binary metals with the same counter anion [13]. Therefore, this project reported in this paper investigates the effect of mixed metals and mixed anions on the efficiency of metal removal from contaminated aqueous solutions using coffee waste as an adsorbent.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Preparation of the Adsorbents (Coffee Waste & Charcoal)

PJ's Classic Roast Coffee waste was obtained, washed several times with deionized water (DI water). The washed coffee waste was patted dry with a paper towel and placed in a Model 40 GC Laboratory oven to dry for 24 hours at 120 °C. Once dried, the waste was ground in a kitchen blender and in a mortar. The ground coffee waste was sieved using a 300 µM mesh sieve. Activated Charcoal was obtained from Aldrich Chemicals and was used as is.

2.2 Preparation of 500 PPM of Cu (II) and Zn(II) ion Solutions from their Nitrate & Sulfate Salts (Labeled as CuN & CuS, ZnN and ZnS)

A standard solution of 500 ppm of copper (II) ion was prepared by dissolving 1.83 g of Cu(NO₃)₂·3H₂O from Fisher Scientific (Lot 143404), 98.8% purity in enough deionized (DI) water to give a 1000 ml of solution. The solution was then stirred to mix before use. A standard solution of CuSO₄ was prepared using 1.96g of CuSO₄·5 H₂O from Fisher Scientific (Lot 138261), 98.0% pure in the 1000 ml volumetric flask. DI water was added to fill the 1000 ml flask to the mark. The solution was then stirred to mix before use. A standard solution of 2.3 g Zn(NO₃)₂·6H₂O from Fisher Scientific (Lot 138261), 98.0% pure is dissolved in enough deionized (DI) water to give a 1000 ml of solution. The solution was then stirred to mix before use. A standard solution of 2.2g of ZnSO₄·7H₂O was dissolved in a 500 ml beaker with a small amount
of DI water and then transferred into the 1000 ml volumetric flask. DI water was added to fill the 1000 ml flask to the mark. The solution was then stirred to mix before use.

2.3 Preparation of 250 PPM of Various Mixed Metal Ion Solutions

250 ppm salt solutions of single metal-mixed anion, mixed metal-single anion and mixed metal-mixed anion were prepared from a 1:1 volume ratio of the solutions prepared above and labeled as CuNCuS, ZnNZnS, CuNZnZ, CuSZnS, CuNZnS, and CuSZnN.

2.4 Agitation of Coffee Waste with Varying Heavy Metals and Anions

Respectively, 2 g of coffee waste was added to 40 ml of each set of metal contaminated solutions into triplicate centrifuge tubes. Controls were prepared following the same procedure except coffee waste was not added. Each mixture was vortexed and placed in the shaker for 12h at room temperature. All the samples were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 minutes then decanted into new tubes. All samples were then analyzed for residual copper and zinc following EPA method 6010 (Inductively Coupled Plasma-Atomic Emission Spectrometry (ICP-AES)).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Percent of Copper and Zinc Metal removal from Single Metal-Single Anion Solutions after Treatment with Coffee Waste & Charcoal

Fig. 1. shows the percentage of copper and zinc removed from single metal-single anion solutions. It further suggests that the solutions treated with charcoal showed at least 99.8% percent metal removal while those treated with coffee waste had about 35-45% metal removal. However, copper removal was higher than zinc removal from both single metal-single anion and from mixed metal-mixed anion salt solutions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adsorbent</th>
<th>CuN</th>
<th>CuS</th>
<th>ZnN</th>
<th>ZnS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Charcoal Waste</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td>99.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COFFEE Waste</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>34.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Percent copper and zinc removal from single metal and single anion solutions

Table 1. Percent copper and zinc removal from single metal and single anion solutions
Table 2. Residual metal concentrations after treatment with coffee waste

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples (mg/L)</th>
<th>Ctr ZnSCuN</th>
<th>WC CuNZnS</th>
<th>Ctr ZnNCuS</th>
<th>WC CuSZNn</th>
<th>Ctr ZnCuS</th>
<th>WC CuSZnS</th>
<th>Ctr ZnCuN</th>
<th>WC CuNZnN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1: Cu</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>86.4</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>89.2</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>87.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1: Zn</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: Cu</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>92.8</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>87.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: Zn</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: Cu</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>92.1</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>97.1</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: Zn</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average (Cu)</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>97.8</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>82.1</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>85.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average (Zn)</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>191.7</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>196.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Residual metal concentrations after treatment of mixed metal-mixed anion and mixed metal-single anion salt solutions with charcoal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples (mg/L)</th>
<th>Ctr ZnSCuN</th>
<th>CC CuNZnS</th>
<th>Ctr ZnNCuS</th>
<th>CC CuSZNn</th>
<th>Ctr ZnCuS</th>
<th>CC CuSZnS</th>
<th>Ctr ZnCuN</th>
<th>CC CuNZnN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1: Cu</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>0.532</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>0.265</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>0.178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1: Zn</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>80.9</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>42.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: Cu</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>0.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: Zn</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>56.8</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>48.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: Cu</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>0.155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: Zn</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>54.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average (Cu)</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>0.274</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>263.5</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>0.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average (Zn)</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>48.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3 Cu and Zn Metal Removal from Single Metal-Single anion and Mixed Metal-Single Anion Salt Solutions

Fig. 2. below compares Zn and Cu removal by coffee waste from single metal-single anion and mixed metal-single anion salts solutions. It is interesting to note that copper removal from CuSO₄-ZnSO₄ solution (a mixed metal-single anion salt solution) is 65.5% while that of Zinc is 20.3% suggesting a three-fold removal preference of copper over zinc. Similarly, in the Cu(NO₃)₂-Zn(NO₃)₂ solution (another mixed metal-single anion salt solution), the copper removal (66.04%) is 2.59 times greater than zinc removal (25.4%).

Furthermore, percent metal removal from mixed metal-single anion salt solution is greater than metal removal from single metal-single anion salt solutions. Compare the 66.04% Cu removal from Cu(NO₃)₂-Zn(NO₃)₂ solution to the 45.14% Cu removal from Cu(NO₃)₂ solution and the 65.5% Cu removal from CuSO₄-ZnSO₄ solution to 43.9% Cu removal from CuSO₄. The data further suggest that metal removal from mixed metal-single anion is greater than metal removal from single metal-single anion solutions. The opposite is the opposite with zinc. In Cu(NO₃)₂-Zn(NO₃)₂ solution, zinc removal was 25.4% compared to the 35.69% zinc removal from Zn(NO₃)₂ and the 20.3% zinc removal from CuSO₄-ZnSO₄ solution compared to the 34.79% zinc removal from ZnSO₄. The data may suggest that zinc may constitute a catalyst for copper removal in the mixed metal-single anion solutions.

3.4 Comparison of Zn and Cu Removal from Single Metal-single Anion Salt Solutions by Charcoal

in Fig. 3. below show the percent of Copper and Zinc removal from single metal-single anion salt solutions (Cu(NO₃)₂, Zn(NO₃)₂, CuSO₄, and ZnSO₄) by Charcoal. The data showed that percent copper and zinc removal by charcoal from single metal-single anion salt solutions were greater than 99% irrespective of the metal or anion (Cu(NO₃)₂ (99.94%); Zn(NO₃)₂ (99.91%); CuSO₄ (99.93%) and ZnSO₄ (99.76%).
Fig. 2. Zn and Cu removal from single metal-single anion and mixed metal-single anion salt solutions by coffee waste

Fig. 3. Percent Cu and Zn removal from single metal-single anion salt solutions by Charcoal

3.5 Effect of Mixed Metal and Single Anion on Metal Removal by Charcoal

Fig. 4. below compares percent metal removal by charcoal from single metal-single anions and mixed metal-single anion salt solutions. In both types of solutions, copper removal was about the same, greater than 99.8% irrespective of metal and anion. Also, in the single metal-single anion salt solutions, Zinc and copper were equally removed by charcoal adsorbent: Cu(NO_3)_2 (99.94%); CuSO_4 (99.93%); Zn(NO_3)_2 (99.92%); and ZnSO_4 (99.86%) while in the mixed metal-single anion salt solutions, Zinc removal by charcoal was severely reduced when compared to zinc removal from single metal-single anion salt solutions: Cu(NO_3)_2-ZnSO_4 (70.5%) versus ZnSO_4 (99.86%) and CuSO_4-ZnNO_3 (79.92%) versus Zn(NO_3)_2 (99.92%).

3.6 Effect of Mixed Anion on Metal Removal from Single Metal-Mixed Anion Salt Solutions by Charcoal

Fig. 5. below suggests that with charcoal, metal removal from both single metal-single anion and mixed metal-single anion salt solutions were very equal at ≥ 99.93% for copper removal from Cu(NO_3)_2-CuSO_4 and 99.94 from Cu(NO_3)_2 and CuSO_4. However, for zinc removal, more zinc was removed from Zn(NO_3)_2-ZnSO_4, (99.9%) and Zn(NO_3)_2 (99.91%) than from ZnSO_4 (99.86%).
Fig. 4. Comparison of percent metal removal from single metal-single anion and mixed metal-single anion salt solutions

Fig. 5. Comparison of metal removal from single metal-single anion and single metal-mixed anion salt solutions
3.7 Effect of Mixed Metal and Mixed Anion on Metal Removal from Contaminated Aqueous Solutions

![Graph showing metal removal percentages](image)

Fig. 6. Cu & Zn removed from mixed metal-mixed anion aqueous solutions by charcoal and waste coffee

3.8 Selective or Competitive Site Adsorption

Data on Figs. 1, 2, 4, and 6 unequivocally showed that copper was preferentially removed from all solutions (single metal-single anion (Fig 1); mixed metal-single anion (Fig 2 & Fig 4) and mixed metal-mixed anion (Fig. 6). The results could be explained in part by the functional groups in coffee waste, and the affinity of these groups to bind to copper [14]. These results are in agreement with those reported by Sdiri [15] and Agwaramgbo [16-17] that (a) coffee waste has a higher specific binding affinity site for copper than zinc and (b) That zinc could be acting as an impurity that catalyzed copper removal from the copper-zinc solution. Additionally, the selectivity of copper removal by coffee waste could be that the binding sites in coffee waste prefer copper to zinc [18]. Furthermore, due to the limited number of active sites for adsorption, copper competes with zinc for adsorption [19]. Zinc removal decreased drastically in binary solutions. Finally, the solubility product of the copper-adsorbent complex may be smaller than that of the zinc-adsorbent complex, thus, allowing more zinc to remain in solution than copper.

3.9 Effect of Particle Size on Adsorption of Copper and Zinc Ions by Coffee Waste

Again, Fig. 5, suggests that copper is removed three times more than zinc. This selectivity could in part, be attributed to particle size of the adsorbent. The zinc ions are larger than the copper, therefore making it easier for copper to enter the pores of the coffee waste particles [20]. Similar conclusion has been reported by Sdiri [21] and Putra [22] in adsorption involving copper and zinc using agricultural waste in which copper removal has been favored over zinc. It is worthy to note that when heavy metals such as copper and zinc are exposed to some agricultural waste adsorbents like coffee waste, the mechanism is adsorption as has been previously reported by Agwaramgbo [23], Minamisawa [24], and Kumari [25].

3.10 Solubility and Solubility Product of the Adsorbent-Metal Complex

It is possible that the solubility and solubility product (KSP) of coffee waste-zinc complex is higher than that of copper. Thus, forcing more copper to be drawn out of the solution than zinc.
In another experiment where Cu(NO$_3$)$_2$ and Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$ were treated with Na$_3$HPO$_4$, more copper was removed than zinc which is attributed in part due to the low solubility and KSP (1.4 x 10$^{-37}$) of CuHPO$_4$ compared to the KSP of 9 x 10$^{-33}$ for ZnHPO$_4$.

4. CONCLUSION

The results of this investigation led us to conclude the following: (1) metal type can affect the extent of metal removal from a mixed metal solution. Copper removal by coffee waste from CuSO$_4$-ZnSO$_4$ and CuSO$_4$ were 65.5% and 43.9%, respectively while zinc removal from CuSO$_4$-ZnSO$_4$ and ZnSO$_4$ were 20.3% and 34.79%, respectively. Similarly, copper removal from Cu(NO$_3$)$_2$-Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$ and from Cu(NO$_3$)$_2$ were 66.04% and 45.14%, respectively while the zinc removal from Cu(NO$_3$)$_2$-Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$ and Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$ were 25.4 and 35.69%. In each of the mixed metal solutions, three times more copper is removed than zinc. (2) More copper is removed in a mixed metal solution than from a single metal-single anion solution which suggests that zinc may be catalyzing copper removal. (3) Anion type does influence metal removal to an appreciable extent. In both Cu(NO$_3$)$_2$-Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$ and CuSO$_4$-ZnSO$_4$ the ratio of % copper to % zinc removal is about 3. However, in single metal single anion salt solutions, more metals are removed from metal nitrate salt solutions than from metal-sulfate salt solutions as shown in Fig. 2. (4) Zinc removal from mixed copper-zinc salt solutions is suppressed by the copper as shown in Fig. 4. CuSO$_4$-ZnSO$_4$ (70.5%) Cu(NO$_3$)$_2$-Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$ (79.9%) when compared to its removal from ZnSO$_4$ (99.86%) or Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$ (99.92%). These results suggest that the nature and mechanism of the adsorption of a specific metal ion from a binary metal solution may change or may be different from that of the single metal.
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