Effect of Fortification of Ground Peanut Seed as Fat Replacer on the Fatty Acid and Fiber Profile of Spent Broiler Breeder Hen Chicken Sausages
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ABSTRACT

Aim: The aims of this study were to develop and standardize the sausages prepared from meat of spent broiler breeder hens and also to improve the functionality by using ground peanut seed as partial animal fat substitute basing on physico-chemical, proximate and sensory properties of the product.

Design of the Study: Conducted six numbers of trails to develop and standardize the functional chicken sausages fortified with ground peanut seed by utilizing cheaply available meat from spent broiler breeder hens.
Place and Duration of Study: Work done at Department of Livestock Products Technology, College of Veterinary Science, Tirupati, Chittoor District, Andhra Pradesh India. The duration of study was 2 years (From 2018 to 2019).

Methodology: Ground peanut seed used at three different levels as fat replacer in order to study the influence of its addition on physico chemical, proximate, fatty acid composition and sensory evaluation of functional chicken sausages.

Results: Results showed that functional chicken sausages fortified with ground peanut seed at 10% level were found to have significantly (P<0.05) higher pH, cooking yield, emulsion stability, hardness, crude protein, crude fiber, total ash, PUFA/SFA ratio, mono and poly unsaturated fatty acids and significantly lower moisture, crude fat, cholesterol and saturated fatty acids when compared to the control and rest of the peanut seed fortified sausages with no significant difference was observed in sensory scores.

Conclusion: Replacing chicken fat with ground peanut seeds is possible to develop a healthy fatty acid profile and fiber-enriched chicken sausages.

Keywords: Chicken sausages; fat replacer; functionality; ground peanut seed.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consumption of meat from spent broiler breeder hens is limited by its poorer sensory quality, in particular poorer tenderness, compared to meat from broilers [1,2,3]. For these reasons, the disposal of spent broiler breeder hens is one of the main economical and environmental problems of the poultry industry [4]. The present study was undertaken keeping in view the necessity for proper utilization of less expensive meat from spent broiler breeder hens to produce cheaper and economically viable nutritious value added sausages.

Currently, consumers are constantly seeking foods with a lower content of fat and cholesterol and with a favorable fatty acid profile and high fiber content [5]. The fatty acid profile of meat and meat products can be changed by supplementing animal feeds with monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFAs) and polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) or by adding different lipids into meat products during processing [6,7]. Foods with higher amounts of MUFAs and PUFAs are recommended by health professionals because they are associated with lower risks of cancer of the breast, colon, coronary, and brain diseases [8]. However, Fat reduction render products unacceptable due to a number of problems including those associated with texture and water binding properties [9]. To counteract the problems caused by fat reduction, dietary fiber has been added to different meat products in the form the vegetable seeds [10,11].

Peanut (Arachis hypogaea L.) is generally considered as nut, has a desirable fatty acid profile and is rich in polyphenols, antioxidants, vitamins, minerals and bioactive components [12]. Peanut consumption has been associated with improved overall diet quality and nutrient profile [13,14] as it contains high amount of protein (25%), oil (45-50%) and fiber (9-10%) [15]. According to the American peanut council, peanut fat profile contains about 50% monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFAs), 33% Poly unsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) and 14% saturated fatty acids which is a heart friendly combination of fatty acids [16]. The high monounsaturated peanut fat diets lowered total body cholesterol by 11% and bad LDL cholesterol by 14%, while their good HDL cholesterol was maintained with reduction in triglycerides [17].

The goals of this study were to develop and standardize the sausages prepared from meat of spent broiler breeder hens and to improve the functionality by using ground peanut seed as partial animal fat substitute basing on physico-chemical, proximate and sensory properties of the product.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Preparation of Raw Materials

Spent boiler breeder birds (females) of 72 weeks age were purchased from Chandragiri local market, transported and slaughtered at the Department of Livestock Products Technology, College of Veterinary Science, Tirupati. On the next day of the slaughter, spent broiler breeder hen chicken carcasses were deboned and meat was harvested. All subcutaneous fat and inter muscular fat was removed from the meat and
used as the fat source. Peanut seeds were purchased from local super market and were separately cleaned thoroughly, dry roasted in a pan and made in to paste after removing the outer skin. The paste was prepared freshly on the day of incorporation.

The spice ingredients purchased from local market were cleaned thoroughly and dried in a Microprocessor Controller Laboratory hot air oven (230V, single 15A, 4 kW 250 Degree C) at 50ºC per 60 minutes. The ingredients were ground separately in a Blender (Model: Panasonic MX-AC 3005) and sieved through a fine mesh (2 mm). The powders were mixed in suitable proportions to obtain the spice mix and were stored at room temperature (28ºC) in air tight container for further use.

Other non meat ingredients like sugar, salt, garlic, onions, binder were purchased from local super market. Onions and garlic were peeled off and made a fine paste in the ratio of 3:1 with help of mixer grinder. Meat and fat were separately subjected to thorough mincing a meat mincer (Continental CCE 89/189) through 6 mm diameter grinder plate to obtain a uniform mix and later through 4 mm diameter plate. Minced meat was chopped with salt, sugar, phosphate, fat, ice flakes, refine wheat flour, spices and condiments for 8 min in a bowl chopper (Schadfen 58452 written). The treatment and control batters were stuffed into synthetic cellulose casings (SCC21) using horizontal sausage stuffer (SIRMAN – V15, Italy) and then linked, tied and cooked at 80°C/40 min in moist heat. Functional chicken sausages were prepared by replacing the chicken fat with ground peanut seed in three different levels along with control as per the formulation given in Table 1. Control sausages were prepared with inclusion of the % 15 chicken fat with no GPS where as T1 sausages were formulated with 10 % fat + 5% GPS, T2 sausages were formulated with 7.5% fat + 7.5% GPS and T3 sausages were formulated with 5%+ 10% GPS. Three treatments along with control were analyzed to select the optimum level of replacement of GPS in formulation of Functional sausages basing on the physico chemical, proximate, fatty acid profile, cholesterol and sensory evaluation.

2.2 Analysis

The pH of the samples was determined by following the procedure of Jay (1964). A 25 g meat sample was mixed with 100 ml of distilled water for one minute in a mechanical mixer. From the total homogenate a 50 ml aliquot portion was immediately used for determination of pH using a digital pH (Systronics µ pH system 361) meter after standardizing the instrument with two standard buffers.

Percent cooking yield was estimated by recording the difference between the pre and post cooking weights of meat sausages and expressed in percentage. Percent Emulsion stability of the sample was determined by the method followed by Balinga and Madaiah [18]. Twenty five grams of emulsion was taken in a sealed polythene bag and cooked at 80°C for 30 min in a water bath. Cookout was drained, cooked mass was cooled and weighed, and same was expressed as percent emulsion stability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredient</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Low fat sausages incorporated with GPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken Meat (%)</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken Fat (%)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground Peanut Seed (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt (%)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar (%)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyphosphate (STPP) (%)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice (%)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry Spice mix (%)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet Condiment mix* (%)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binder (Maida) (%)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Onion: Garlic paste (3:1)
The hardness of the product was measured in terms of penetration value (penetration value×10-1 mm) with the help of cone Penetrometer as described by Dixon and Parekh [19]. Proximate composition including the percent moisture, crude protein, crude fat and crude fibre were estimated as per the procedures outlined by AOAC [20]. Cholesterol was estimated as per the method described by Wybenga et al. [21] as modified by Rajkumar et al. [22]. Fatty acid composition was estimated by Folch et al. [23] and Lepage and Roy [24]. Sensory evaluation was done per the standardized formulations were oven cooked separately and subjected to sensory evaluation on a 9 point hedonic scale (1 is poor and 9 is excellent).

The data obtained in the present study was analyzed statistically as per the methods outlined by Snedecor and Cochran (1980). The data was analyzed by one way ANOVA.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Physico Chemical Parameters

3.1.1 pH

The pH differed significantly (P<0.05) between low fat sausages and control. The pH of sausages increased with increased in the level of ground peanut seed incorporation and were significantly higher (P<0.05) at subsequent level of incorporation. This increase in pH might be attributed to the replacement of chicken fat with groundnut seed paste which has comparatively high pH (6.28-7.50 by Anon, [25]). The pH of the product with 10% ground peanut seed was significantly higher (P<0.05) than the rest of the formulations. These findings are correlated with Dima et al. [26] in beef meat product and Kumar et al. [27] in mutton nuggets.

3.1.2 Percent cooking yield

The mean percent cooking yield of low-fat chicken sausages was significantly (P<0.05) affected by addition of ground peanut seed. The cooking yield of the product improved with increase in the level of ground peanut seed and was significantly higher (P<0.05) at 10% level as compared to 5%, 7.5% incorporation and control.

3.1.3 Percent emulsion stability

The mean percent emulsion stability of low-fat chicken sausages was significantly (P<0.05) affected by different formulations. The emulsion stability increased in treated sausages with increased addition of ground peanut seed as compared to that of control. Low fat sausages replaced with 10% peanut seed paste (T3) had significantly (P<0.05) higher percent emulsion stability values than rest of treatments and control. The increase in emulsion stability might be due to increase in total emulsifying protein content in the formulation after substitution. Peanut proteins have been reported to possess good emulsifying property [30,31]. The results are in agreement with Baek et al. [32] in sausages with canola oil, Choi et al. [33] in sausages with rice bran fiber and Kumar et al. [27] in mutton nuggets added with peanut paste.

3.1.4 Hardness

All the formulations of low-fat chicken sausages recorded significantly (P<0.05) lower penetration values compared to control and significant difference was also observed among three the low-fat formulations. Mean penetration values of low fat formulations decreased with increased level of addition of ground peanut seed with the T3 having least value penetration values than rest of treatments and control. This increase in hardness might be due to reduced fat and moisture percent. Similar trend was reported by Kumar et al. [27] peanut incorporated products and Soher et al. [29] in defatted peanut flour supplemented chicken burger. These results are in contrast to Das et al. [34] who noticed slight decrease in shear force values with increased incorporation of non- meat additives.

3.2 Proximate Composition

Mean values of proximate composition of ground peanut seed incorporated low fat chicken sausages are presented in Table 3. Effect of partial replacement of chicken fat with ground
peanut seed on proximate composition of low fat chicken sausages is represented in Chart 1. Effect of partial replacement of chicken fat with ground peanut seed on cholesterol of low fat chicken sausages is represented in Chart 2.

Proximate composition of low-fat chicken sausages was significantly (P<0.05) affected by addition of ground peanut seed. Control sausages recorded significantly higher percent moisture and lower percent crude fiber than treatments. The percent moisture of the ground peanut seed added sausages was significantly lower (P<0.05) than control. The moisture percent significantly (P<0.05) decreased at subsequent level of incorporation with lowest at 10% level of incorporation. Backes et al. [35] reported decreased fat and increased moisture content of Italian-type salami by substituting 15% and 30% of pork back fat with emulsified canola oil.

Ground peanut seed addition significantly (P<0.05) affected the protein content of the sausages with the highest protein content determined in sausages having 10% ground peanut seed than rest of treatments and control. Decrease in moisture and increase in protein in the product might be due to replacement of chicken fat with ground peanut seed which had comparatively low moisture and high protein content (25.72%). Similar pattern of findings is reported by Kumar et al. [27] in mutton nuggets and Soher et al. [29] in low-fat chicken burger containing defatted peanut flour.

The percent crude fat of treatment and control sausages was significantly (P>0.05) affected. Control sausages had significantly (P<0.05) higher fat content than treated sausage s. Low fat sausages replaced with 5% ground peanut seed (T1) had significantly (P<0.05) lower percent crude fat than rest of treatments and control. These findings are correlated with Dima et al. [26] in beef products and Hudayi and Tugba [36] in sucuk added with walnut paste.

Low fat sausages replaced with 10% ground peanut seed (T3) had significantly (P<0.05) higher percent total ash (6.5%) and crude fiber (13%) than rest of treatments and control. This might be attributed to the high total ash and fiber contents of ground peanut seed [37]. Similar trend is reported by Backes et al. [35] in mutton nuggets, Prinyawiwatkul et al. [38] in nuggets added with fermented partially defatted peanut flour, Santhi and Kalaikannan, [39] in low fat chicken nuggets with oat flour and Soher et al. [29] in low fat chicken burger.

The mean cholesterol values of low-fat chicken sausages was significantly (P<0.05) affected by different formulations. Cholesterol content was decreased with increased addition of ground peanut seed and higher cholesterol content was observed in control with 15% fat. Low fat sausages replaced with 10% ground peanut seed (T3) had significantly (P<0.05) lower cholesterol than rest of treatments and control. A decrease in cholesterol content in treatment sausages was obvious because of substitution of cholesterol rich animal fat with peanut based lipids which are devoid of cholesterol. Similar trend was reported by Cengiz and Gokoglu, [40] in citrus fiber and soy protein concentrate added frankfurters, Hudayi and Tugba, [36], Kayaa and Gok, [41] in sucuk added with walnut and olive oil and Kumar et al. [27] in mutton nuggets.

3.3 Fatty Acid Composition of Low Fat Chicken Sausages

Mean values of fatty acid composition of ground peanut seed incorporated low fat chicken sausages are presented in Table 3. Effect of partial replacement of chicken fat with ground peanut seed on fatty acid composition of low fat chicken sausages is represented in Chart 3.

Table 2. Effect of partial replacement of chicken fat with ground peanut seed (GPS) paste on physico-chemical properties of low fat chicken sausages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.23±0.01a</td>
<td>6.27±0.005b</td>
<td>6.28±0.004c</td>
<td>6.30±0.006d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooking yield (%)</td>
<td>87.2±0.04a</td>
<td>87.89±0.11b</td>
<td>90.04±0.08c</td>
<td>91.06±0.06d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emulsion stability (%)</td>
<td>87.0±0.22a</td>
<td>88.93±0.14b</td>
<td>90.02±0.05c</td>
<td>91.58±0.08d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness</td>
<td>26.05±0.17a</td>
<td>22.26±0.02b</td>
<td>21.89±0.06c</td>
<td>21.24±0.05d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Control -15 % Chicken fat (CF); T1 - 10 % CF + 5% GSP; T2 - 7.5% CF + 7.5% GSP; T3 - 5% CF + 10% GSP.

Note: Mean values bearing at least one common superscript do not differ significantly.
Table 3. Effect of partial replacement of chicken fat with ground nut seed on proximate composition of low fat chicken sausages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moisture(%)</td>
<td>67.25±0.12&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>64.16±0.20&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>62.33±0.05&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>61.35±0.12&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein(%)</td>
<td>18.52±0.05&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>23.84±0.06&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>24.16±0.02&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>24.52±0.06&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fat(%)</td>
<td>10.65±0.06&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9.11±0.07&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10.04±0.01&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10.45±0.04&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total ash(%)</td>
<td>1.53±0.05&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.66±0.01&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.05±0.02&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.10±0.007&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fiber(%)</td>
<td>0.68±0.03&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.06±0.01&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.27±0.01&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.32±0.008&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol(mg)</td>
<td>81.45±0.08&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>65.53±0.06&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>55.20±0.04&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>40.20±0.06&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Control -15 % Chicken fat (CF); T1 - 10 % CF + 5% GSP; T2 - 7.5% CF + 7.5% GSP; T3 - 5% CF + 10% GSP.

Note: Mean values bearing at least one common superscript do not differ significantly.

Chart 1. Effect of partial replacement of chicken fat with ground peanut seed on proximate composition of low fat chicken sausages

Chart 2. Effect of partial replacement of chicken fat with ground peanut seed on cholesterol content of low fat chicken sausages

The free fatty acid composition of low fat chicken sausages and control was significantly (P<0.05) affected by addition of ground peanut seed. Groundnut seed paste addition as animal fat...
replacer changed (P<0.05) the fatty acid composition with decreased saturated fatty acid (SFA) concentration and increased (P<0.05) the mono unsaturated fatty acid (MUFA), poly unsaturated fatty acid (PUFA) concentration and ratio of PUFA/SFA. Control sausages had significantly (P<0.05) higher percent of total saturated fatty acids concentration than low fat treatments. Among the low fat treatments T3 sausages had significantly (P<0.05) higher percent of total mono unsaturated fatty acids (MUFA), poly unsaturated fatty acids (PUFA), ratio of PUFA/SFA and lowest saturated fatty acid (SFA) concentration and increased (P<0.05) the fatty acid and stearic acid contents in low fat sausages than control. Linoleic and oleic acid percent of low fat chicken sausages increased with increased level of ground peanut seed. T3 sausages scored significantly (P<0.05) higher percent of total mono unsaturated fatty acids (MUFA), poly unsaturated fatty acids (PUFA), ratio of PUFA/SFA and lowest saturated fatty acid (SFA) concentration and increased (P<0.05) the fatty acid and stearic acid contents in low fat sausages than control. Myristic, palmitic, and stearic acid contents in low fat sausages than control. These results are in conformity with Arya et al. [37] and Settaluri et al. [42]. Karwowska et al. [43] found significantly higher percentage of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) for meat product sample containing 0.5% of mustard seed compared to control.

Ground peanut seed addition resulted in increased linoleic acid and oleic acid content and decreased myristic, palmitic acid and stearic acid contents in low fat sausages than control. Linoleic and oleic acid percent of low fat chicken sausages increased with increased level of addition of ground peanut seed and highest value was recorded in T3 sausages. This might be due to high concentration of oleic, linoleic acid in groundnut seed [44], Talcott et al. 2005 and Ozcan [45]. The changes in fatty acid composition of ground peanut seed added chicken sausages are correlated with Baek et al. [32] in spent layer sausages, Hudayi and Tugba [36] in walnut added sucuk, Marquez et al. [46] in peanut oil added frankfurters and Saldana et al. [47] in mortadella type products.

3.4 Sensory Evaluation

Overall mean values of sensory parameters of ground peanut seed incorporated low fat chicken sausages are presented in Table 5. The sensory parameters of low fat chicken sausages and control were not affected significantly by addition of ground peanut seed. T3 sausages scored uniformly higher scores for all sensory parameters than other treatments and control. These findings are in accordance with Keefe and Wang [48] who showed no effect on sensory aroma of beef products added with pea nut extracts. Scores of all sensory parameters for three treatments of sausages were in the range of 7.47-7.79 which indicating acceptability of low fat chicken sausages. These results are in agreement with Jo et al. [49] in low salt chicken sausages, Kumar et al. [27] in mutton nuggets, Olusola et al. [50] in kilishi, is a ready to eat traditionally prepared intermediate moisture meat traditionally prepared from beef infused with spices and defatted ground peanut seed and Prinyawiwatkul et al. [38] in chicken nuggets incorporated with peanut paste.

Table 4. Effect of partial replacement of chicken fat with ground nut seed paste on fatty acid composition (%) of low fat chicken sausages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Myristic acid (C14)</td>
<td>0.64±0.004</td>
<td>0.63±0.01</td>
<td>0.53±0.003</td>
<td>0.53±0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmitic acid (C16)</td>
<td>21.42±0.06</td>
<td>21.05±0.02</td>
<td>19.57±0.03</td>
<td>18.18±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stearic acid (C18)</td>
<td>5.09±0.004</td>
<td>4.39±0.02</td>
<td>4.40±0.04</td>
<td>3.49±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behenic acid (C22)</td>
<td>0.42±0.005</td>
<td>0.37±0.009</td>
<td>0.36±0.007</td>
<td>0.61±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oleic acid (C18:1)</td>
<td>40.77±0.06</td>
<td>41.46±0.03</td>
<td>42.06±0.02</td>
<td>43.55±0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmitoleic acid (C16:1)</td>
<td>5.26±0.01</td>
<td>4.60±0.03</td>
<td>3.99±0.01</td>
<td>3.26±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linoleic acid (C18:2)</td>
<td>24.25±0.015</td>
<td>25.91±0.03</td>
<td>28.01±0.02</td>
<td>29.99±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linolenic acid (C18:3)</td>
<td>1.36±0.006</td>
<td>1.39±0.007</td>
<td>0.99±0.01</td>
<td>0.28±0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arachidonic acid (C20:4)</td>
<td>0.16±0.004</td>
<td>0.15±0.008</td>
<td>0.12±0.007</td>
<td>0.07±0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecosapentaenoic acid (C20:5)</td>
<td>0.35±0.006</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Docosahexaenoic acid (C22:6)</td>
<td>0.13±0.005</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFA</td>
<td>0.12±0.001</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUFA</td>
<td>27.55±0.07</td>
<td>26.44±0.04</td>
<td>24.86±0.01</td>
<td>22.82±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUFA</td>
<td>46.03±0.009</td>
<td>46.07±0.01</td>
<td>46.05±0.01</td>
<td>46.81±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUFA/SFA</td>
<td>0.95±0.002</td>
<td>1.04±0.001</td>
<td>1.17±0.001</td>
<td>1.33±0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Control - 15% Chicken fat (CF); T1 - 10% CF + 5% GSP; T2 - 7.5% CF + 7.5% GSP; T3 - 5% CF + 10% GSP.

Note: Mean values bearing at least one common superscript do not differ significantly.
Chart 3. Effect of partial replacement of chicken fat with ground peanut seed on fatty acid composition low fat chicken sausages

Table 5. Effect of partial replacement of chicken fat with ground peanut seed (GPS) on sensory parameters of low fat chicken sausages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appearance</td>
<td>7.63±0.14</td>
<td>7.62±0.10</td>
<td>7.61±0.19</td>
<td>7.61±0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavour</td>
<td>7.54±0.16</td>
<td>7.50±0.14</td>
<td>7.52±0.14</td>
<td>7.52±0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juiciness</td>
<td>7.44±0.11</td>
<td>7.43±0.10</td>
<td>7.42±0.16</td>
<td>7.41±0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenderness</td>
<td>7.48±0.13</td>
<td>7.47±0.10</td>
<td>7.45±0.15</td>
<td>7.44±0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall acceptability</td>
<td>7.45±0.10</td>
<td>7.45±0.13</td>
<td>7.44±0.15</td>
<td>7.43±0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Mean values bearing at least one common superscript do not differ significantly.

4. CONCLUSION

Functional chicken sausages incorporated with 10% ground peanut seed recorded significantly better scores in all physico chemical, proximate, fatty acid profile and sensory analysis. The functional chicken sausages had higher cooking yield and better Emulsion stability than control sausages. The functional chicken sausages recorded higher crude protein, crude fiber, total ash, PUFA/SFA ratio and poly unsaturated fatty acids and lower moisture, crude fat, cholesterol and saturated fatty acids compared to the control sausages. Even though control sausages recorded little higher sensory scores than functional sausages but found no significant difference between them. Thus concluding that the ground peanut seed will be the better alternative to replace the chicken fat to develop functional chicken sausages with healthy fatty acid profile and rich fiber content without affecting the consumer acceptability.
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